

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/093,508	03/11/2002	Shinji Sato	380-36	3746		
7	7590 02/20/2003					
Nixon & Van 14th Floor	Nixon & Vanderhye P.C.			EXAMINER		
2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, VA 22201			THERKORN, ERNEST G			
Armigion, VA	22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1723	. /		
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003	7		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOCKETER

CLT/MATTER #____380-36

MAIL DATE 2-20-2003

FINAL DEADLINE ALIGUEST 20 2002

DOCKETED BY RKE/

	•	· · · / • -	Applicant(s)	A — A	V
	Office Action Commence	10/093,508	SA	3 T O	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		THERKO	β γ	1723	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the corres	pondence address	·
Period 1	for Reply	1			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH	I(S) FROM	
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			6 AN 10 14017110	
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	n no event, however, may a rep	ly be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS t	rom the
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply		•	· ·	ation.
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	the application to become ABAI	NDONED (35 U.S	S.C. § 133).	
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if tim	nety filed, may re	duce any	
Status	14	0 0 0 0 0			
1) 🗓	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May	30,2002			<u> </u>
2a) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Hay This action is FINAL . 2b) This action	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance		tters, prose	cution as to the	merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	arte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11; 453	O.G. 213.	
	tion of Claims				
4)	Claim(s) 1-4		is/are	e pending in the a	pplication.
	a) Of the above, claim(s)				
5)□	Claim(s)		·	is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to	о.
	Claims 1-4				
	ition Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar-	e a) 🗆 accepted or I	o) Objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			(2) 3. (.).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		polication N	No.	
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the priority of	documents have been	received in		age
* S	application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti			(e).	
a) 🗀	The translation of the foreign language provision				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachm		,			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s).	
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pa			
3) [] Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/093,508

Art Unit: 1723

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-3, drawn to a mixture of acid and an amino acid, classified in class 210, subclass 198.2.

II. Claim 4, drawn to a method of analyzing cations, classified in class 210, subclass656.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed could be used in a materially different process. For example, the product could be used as a feed additive or as a reactant in a chemical reaction process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1723

The specification lists the following references in the specification, which would appear to be essential for a proper examination of the application. They include:

NOTE

1) the article on page 2, line 26 of the specification

2) the two Japanese patents on page 3, line 3 of the specification

It would be appreciated if applicant would submit copies of these references with his response to this office action. Such a timely submission would enhance the quality of examination. In addition, if applicant submitted the copies of these references with his response to this office action, no fee would be required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT/12 February 13, 2003